Electronically Filed
Docket: 24-CCB-0231
Filing Date: 06/19/2025 12:25:40 AM EDT

Statement from Dominick Mattiello (Respondent – 24-CCB-0231)

June 19, 2025

I am writing to formally submit a statement to the Board in relation to 24-CCB-0231, and to request that my son, Dominick Mattiello II's statement from case 24-CCB-0232 be entered into the record for this case as well.

Earlier today, the claimant Peter Chang, began by accusing my daughter-in-law Victoria Mattiello, my son Dominick Mattiello II, Raymond Harper, and myself of yet more instances of fraud—claims that were categorically disproven with clear and verifiable evidence.

He challenged the authenticity of documents that were submitted into discovery weeks ago, including a lawyer agreement signed both by myself and my former attorney in the original case (in which he obtained a default judgment against me). To address this today, I forwarded the actual email I received from the law firm, which contains the agreement in question as an attachment. This demonstrates the document's authenticity and validity.

The claimant also accused my son of submitting a fraudulent lease agreement bearing the signatures of both my son and his wife. In response, my son submitted additional corroborating documentation to fully refute these claims.

What is troubling is the pattern of behavior emerging here. Within an hour of receiving this counter-evidence, the claimant sent an email directly to me, my son, and Raymond Harper stating that he would drop both CCB cases in exchange for a $200,000 payment. This type of communication, in my opinion, borders on extortion.

I have long maintained that the claimant is not sincerely pursuing these copyright infringement claims for their legal merit, but instead is using the CCB process to improperly attempt to shift responsibility for a default judgment rendered solely against me onto my son. I have made it clear from the beginning: the judgment was against me, not my son, and certainly not Mr. Harper.

Now, the claimant is effectively saying, “Pay me $200,000, and I’ll walk away.” These cases could never realistically result in a $200,000 award under CCB rules, so this is clearly a coercive tactic intended to intimidate and pressure us into a settlement that goes far beyond the scope of these proceedings.


This latest development supports what I have said all along: the claimant is weaponizing the CCB process to harass and financially threaten individuals who were not parties to the original case. I urge the Board to review this conduct carefully.

Please see the attached statement from my son, which provides further clarity on these matters. I ask that it be made part of the official record for CCB-2024-0231.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Dominick Mattiello



Proof of Delivery

I hereby certify that on Thursday, June 19, 2025, I provided a true and correct copy of the Statement from Dominick Mattiello (Respondent – 24-CCB-0231) to the following:

Custom Family Gifts LLC, represented by Peter J Chang, served via ESERVICE at admin@customfamilygifts.com

Raymond Harper, represented by Raymond Harper, served via MANUALEEMAIL

Signed: /s/ Dominick Mattiello